



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

#32
7-10

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST-NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

32

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Prema Menz (Primary Examiner) (3) _____
(2) Robert H. Underwood (Attorney) (4) _____

Date of Interview 6/20/03

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 151-157, 163-169, 175-179, 185-188, 194-198, 204-207, 213, 217, 246-250, 253, 257-260, 263, 292, 296, 300, 303, and new claims 308-352.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hornik et al. (US 94/11504), Osann et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,530,101) and Charo et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,707,815)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Underwood

submitted arguments to revoke the 35 USC 103 rejections, arguing that the analogous regions in CCR1, CCR2 & CCR3 were in the transmembrane regions. Furthermore with respect to claims 246, 253, 257, 263, 300, 303, 341, 349, the coding strand cannot hybridize to both the SEP1DNO:1 and the complement of SEP1DNO:1.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Prema Menz
6/20/03